

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addies, COMMISSIONIECO: PALENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. BON. S. S. STATES Advancing, Augusta 223(3):1450 www.uspto.g.o.

DATE MAILED: 05/16/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09 895,501	06 29/2001	Donald Craig Foster	M-11315 US	7243
759	90 05.16 2003			
Bever Hoffman & Harms 2099 Gateway Place Suite 320 San Jose, CA 95110-1017			EXAMINER	
			GRAYBILL, DAVID E	
3an Jose, CA 93110-1017			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2827	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/895,501	FOSTER ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		David E Graybill	2827			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SH THE - Exto after - If the - If No - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1 704(b).		a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication ARANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133)			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01</u>	April 2002				
2a)□						
3)	,=	his action is non-final.				
•	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde ion of Claims	vance except for formal m r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C	atters, prosecution as to the merits is C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
4)🖂	Claim(s) 17-24 and 42-67 is/are pending in t	he application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) 19-45,49-59 and 61-67 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)🖂	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17,18,46-48 and 60</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ on Papers	or election requirement.				
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>16 October 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.					
* S	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International Bree the attached detailed Office action for a list	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	,			
14) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	tic priority under 35 U.S.C	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application).			
a	☐ The translation of the foreign language pr cknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	ovisional application has I	been received.			
Attachment	(s)					
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
S. Patent and Tr.	ademark Office		·			

Application/Control Number: 09/895,501 Art Unit: 2827

Applicant's election of the species of Figure 3, claims 17, 18, 46-48 and 60 in the paper filed 1-30-3 is acknowledged.

Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claims 19-24, 42-45, 49-59 and 61-67 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

In the rejections infra, reference labels are generally recited only for the first recitation of identical claim language.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 17, 46 and 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tada (5548890).

At column 16, line 55 to column 17, line 43, Tada teaches the following:

Application/Control Number: 09/895,501

Art Unit: 2827

A method of making a semiconductor package, the method comprising: providing a leadframe 1 including a plurality of leads 3 within and connected to a frame, wherein at least an inner end portion 3a of each lead is singulated by laser cutting; mounting a chip 102 on the leadframe; electrically coupling 103 the chip to the inner end portion of a plurality of the leads; and encapsulating 104 the chip and the inner end portion of the leads.

A method of making a semiconductor package, the method comprising: providing a leadframe including a plurality of leads, wherein at least a first portion 3a of each lead is singulated by laser cutting; electrically coupling a chip to the leads, wherein the first portion of the lead is an inner end of the lead.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/895,501 Art Unit: 2827

Claims 18, 47 and 60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tada as applied to claims 17, 46 and 48, and further in combination with Kubota (JP6204374).

As cited, Tada teaches the following:

A method of making a semiconductor package, the method comprising: providing a leadframe including die pad 2 and a plurality of leads extending toward the die pad, wherein at least a first portion of each lead is singulated by laser cutting, electrically coupling an electrical conductor between a chip coupled to the die pad and the first portion of respective ones of said leads.

However, Tada does not appear to explicitly teach said first portion having a thickness less than a remaining thickness of an immediately adjacent outward portion of the lead.

Nonetheless, in the English translation, abstracts and figures, Kubota teaches a leadframe 1 having a lead first portion 2 having a thickness less than a remaining thickness of an immediately adjacent outward portion. Moreover, it would have been obvious to combine the process of Kubota with the process of Tada because it would provide a highly reliable leadframe.

In the response filed 1-30-3, applicant asserts that claims 42-45, 56-59 and 65-67 "should be considered," and further cites

Art Unit: 2827

MPEP 2173.05(p). However, the relevance of the citation is unclear. In any case, it is respectfully submitted that claims 42-45, 56-59 and 65-67 have been considered, and are properly restricted for the reasons of record.

Any telephone inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status (MPEP 203.08) of this application or proceeding should be directed to Group 2800 Customer Service whose telephone number is 703-306-3329.

Any telephone inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David E. Graybill at (703) 308-2947. Regular office hours: Monday through Friday, 8:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m.

The fax phone number for group 2800 is 703/308-7722.

David E. Graybill Primary Examiner Art Unit 2827

jul & mo

D.G. 14-May-03